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ABSTRACT

Researchers in the Digital Humanities and journalists need to monitor, collect and analyze fresh online content regarding current events such as the Ebola outbreak or the Ukraine crisis on demand. However, existing focused crawling approaches only consider topical aspects while ignoring temporal aspects and therefore cannot achieve thematically coherent and fresh Web collections. Especially Social Media provide a rich source of fresh content, which is not used by state-of-the-art focused crawlers. In this paper we address the issues of enabling the collection of fresh and relevant Web and Social Web content for a topic of interest through seamless integration of Web and Social Media in a novel integrated focused crawler. The crawler collects Web and Social Media content in a single system and exploits the stream of fresh Social Media content for guiding the crawler.
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1. INTRODUCTION

With the advancement of analysis and mining technologies, a growing interest in collecting and analyzing Web content can be observed in various scientific disciplines. Our user requirements study [23] revealed that more and more disciplines are interested in mining and analyzing the Web. User-generated content and especially the Social Web is attractive for many humanities disciplines. Journalists are also interested in the content as it provides a direct access to the people’s views about politics, events, persons and popular topics shared on Social Media platforms. These users require a comprehensive on-demand documentation of the activities on the Web around global events (e.g. Ebola outbreak, Ukraine crisis) and local events (e.g. Blockupy, squatting).

A comprehensive documentation consists of official communications, news articles, blogs, and Social Media content.

Both the Web and Social Media can provide a wealth of information and opinions about emerging events and topics. Often these media are used complementary, in that discussions about documents on the Web occur on Social Media or that Social Media users publish longer posts as articles on Web sites. Organizations generating fresh Web content, e.g. news agencies, often offer entry points to this content via Social Media such as Twitter. These are taken up by other Twitter and Facebook users for recommendation and discussion with other users. During the discourse further links are recommended. Especially Twitter turns out to be one of the most popular media to spread new information.

Large scale analysis and mining of Web and Social Media content requires that the relevant content is stored in easily accessible collections (as opposed to being distributed across the Web). The user requirements posed on such collections include most importantly topical relevance, freshness, and context [23]. As the Web and Social Web are ephemeral and under constant evolution, Web pages can quickly change or become unreachable even within hours. Content linked from Social Media content typically has a very short life span [24], which increases the risk of missing Web content linked from Social Media unless it is collected immediately. Timely collection of the embedded information (like embedded Twitter feeds) plays a crucial role in building comprehensive collections that cover all important media on the Web. On the other hand, tweets containing embedded links should be accompanied by the linked pages to understand their context.

In order to create Web collections on demand, Web crawlers are gaining interest in the community. Web crawlers are automatic programs that follow the links in the Web graph to gather documents. Unfocused Web crawlers (e.g. Heritrix [16] and Apache Nutch [1]) are typically used to create collections for Web archives or Web search engines, respectively. These crawlers collect all documents on their way through the Web graph and produce vast document collections that cover all important media on the Web. The user requirements posed on such collections include most importantly topical relevance, freshness, and context [23]. As the Web and Social Web are ephemeral and under constant evolution, Web pages can quickly change or become unreachable even within hours. Content linked from Social Media content typically has a very short life span [24], which increases the risk of missing Web content linked from Social Media unless it is collected immediately. Timely collection of the embedded information (like embedded Twitter feeds) plays a crucial role in building comprehensive collections that cover all important media on the Web. On the other hand, tweets containing embedded links should be accompanied by the linked pages to understand their context.

In order to create Web collections on demand, Web crawlers are gaining interest in the community. Web crawlers are automatic programs that follow the links in the Web graph to gather documents. Unfocused Web crawlers (e.g. Heritrix [16] and Apache Nutch [1]) are typically used to create collections for Web archives or Web search engines, respectively. These crawlers collect all documents on their way through the Web graph and produce vast document collections on a variety of topics. In contrast, focused Web crawlers [e.g. 3, 8] take topical or temporal [19] dimensions of the collected pages into consideration.

Existing focused crawling approaches consider topical and temporal aspects in isolation, and thus failing to create collections of Web documents that are not only thematically coherent, but also up-to-date. Furthermore, most crawlers are dedicated to collect either Web [e.g. 3, 8] or Social Web content [e.g. 6, 20]. Even in crawlers that make use of both Web and Social Web content like the ARCOMEM crawler.
The connection is rather loose as Social Web content is collected independently of Web content. The usage of loosely coupled crawlers can easily lead to a big time gap between the collection of the content and linked or embedded content.

By reducing this time gap the freshness of the content can greatly be improved. Finding recent and relevant Web pages automatically is a difficult task for the Web crawler. In order to initiate the crawling process, existing Web crawlers require seed URLs, i.e. a set of pages to start the crawling. Typically, these seed URLs are provided by the researcher manually, such that crawl setup requires expert knowledge and lacks flexibility. Especially as recently created Web content may not (yet) be well interlinked on the Web, static crawl specifications can miss important entry points to collect fresh content appearing on the Web during the crawl. Therefore, it is important to provide means to automatically identify relevant and fresh content in a continuous way.

In contrast to Web crawling, Social Media sites like Twitter or Flickr provide access to their selected content through custom APIs. For example, the Twitter streaming API allows the collection of fresh and topical relevant tweets using standing queries making it possible to focus the requested stream on the topic of interest.

In this paper we address the problem of enabling on demand snapshot collections of fresh and relevant Web content for a topic of interest. To this goal we combine the advantages of focused Web crawling and Social Media API queries in the novel paradigm of integrated crawling. In this paradigm we use recent tweets to continuously guide a focused crawler towards fresh Web documents on the topic of interest and to jointly collect recent and relevant documents from different sources.

The contributions of this paper are as follows:

- We present the novel paradigm of integrated crawling that enables the continuous guidance of a focused crawler towards fresh and relevant content. In order to directly provide the Web crawler with entry points to fresh Web content, we exploit the idea of integrating Social Media with focused Web crawling.
- We present iCrawl, an open source integrated crawler to perform focused crawls on current events and topics on demand. The extensible iCrawl architecture seamlessly integrates Twitter API query and Web crawling. To achieve scalability, our architecture extends the Apache Nutch crawler.
- We demonstrate the efficiency and effectiveness of our approach in a number of experiments with real-world datasets collected by iCrawl. Specifically, we create and analyze collections about the Ebola epidemic and the Ukraine crisis.

## 2. INTEGRATING WEB CRAWLING AND SOCIAL MEDIA

Whereas Web content is typically collected through Web crawlers that follow outgoing links from Web pages, Social Media platforms such as Twitter supply fresh content via streaming APIs. Combining the two paradigms would allow us to follow recent events and discussions in both media in a seamless way.

In the example shown in Figure 1, a researcher or a journalist is interested in creating a specific Web snapshot regarding reports that Russia was sending tanks to the East Ukraine on November 7, 2014. Fresh information around this event can be received from Twitter. Since it is early in the event and the impact is unclear, no specific hashtag exists. Therefore the more generic topic of #Ukraine

1Available at [http://icrawl.l3s.uni-hannover.de](http://icrawl.l3s.uni-hannover.de). The system is unrelated to other similarly named projects.
can be followed. From the #Ukraine Twitter stream many links can be extracted around the Ukraine crisis, but not all of them are related to the topic of Russian tanks. For example, the posted link to the Fox News article is of high relevance as it describes the event itself. The relevance of the posted link from Missile Threat is on a medium level since it talks about Ukraine and missiles, but not about this specific event. Furthermore links from this unrelated page point to other unrelated pages, which have overall low relevance as they only talk about weapons in some form. The task of the crawler is to prioritize the extracted links for fetching according to their relevance as we discuss in Section 2.3.

Since the aim of our integrated crawler is the creation of collections with fresh and relevant content we first need to define freshness in the context of Web collections. Afterwards we present the architectural challenges for an integration of focused Web crawling with Social Media streams.

2.1 Estimating Freshness of Web Content

Along with the topical relevance, freshness of the collected pages is one of the crucial requirements of journalists and researchers, who are interested in irregular crawls on current events and topics performed on demand. In this context, it is important to automatically guide the crawler towards relevant and fresh content and to estimate freshness of the crawled pages efficiently and accurately. Intuitively, freshness of a page can be determined using the length of the time interval between the fetch time of this page and the (estimated) creation time.

**Definition 2.1. Page Freshness:** If the page \( \text{P} \) was fetched at time \( t_f \) and the (estimated) time of the creation of this page is \( t_c \), then the freshness \( F_P \) of \( \text{P} \) is proportional to the length of the time interval between the fetch time and the (estimated) creation time: \( F_P \approx t_f - t_c \).

The creation time of collected pages can be easily estimated if a crawl history is available. This history is typically obtained by regular unfocused large-scale crawls and can show the changes in the Web graph as well as provide the date the document has first been discovered by the crawler (inception date) [10]. This approach is used by many of the larger organizations in the field of Web crawling, e.g. Web search engines and Web archiving institutions. In case of irregular on-demand crawls on current events and topics such crawl history may not be available. In this case the crawler can rely on the content-based creation date estimates.

Content-based estimation of Web page creation time faces technical challenges as such information is highly syntactically heterogeneous and not always explicitly available. Moreover, Web pages do not offer reliable metadata concerning their creation date [25]. In order to allow an efficient freshness evaluation, in this paper we combine several features including page metadata and page content. Other possibilities include the usage of tools such as DCTFinder [25] that combine rule-based approaches with probabilistic models and achieve high precision.

2.2 Crawler Architecture

Web and Social Media crawling follow two different paradigms and are therefore handled separately in current systems. Standard Web crawling is a pull process: The crawler fetches pending URLs from its queue while taking into account the expected utility of the URLs, politeness require-ments and other factors. In turn, the outlinks from the fetched documents are added to the queue to continue the crawling process. These steps are continuously repeated until the crawler is stopped. The pull characteristic of the process enables the crawler to control its strategy during the entire process, for example with regard to the crawl rate and the scope of the created collection.

In contrast, Social Media streaming APIs are based on a push mechanism meaning that the crawler has to submit a fixed standing query to the API. The platform returns fresh content matching the query asynchronously. This access mechanism does not give the crawler sufficient control over the input, as the rate and time intervals of the input from the Social Media API are not known in advance.

These differences together with the dynamic nature of Social Media platforms present several major challenges with respect to the seamless integration of the Web and Social Media crawling. Due to these differences, Social Media access cannot occur as part of the Web crawler loop, but has to be handled separately. However, the results of both processes are highly interdependent and impact each other in several ways. First, Social Media is a valuable source of relevant links to the Web (as well as to related streams in the Social Web). Therefore, the filtered stream of relevant Social Media messages containing outgoing Web links need to be placed into the Web crawler queue. Second, one flavor of Social Media integration is the embedding of message feeds into Web pages. Since it can be assumed that the embedded feed is relevant to the page content, the feed content should also be collected. Therefore, the Web crawler needs to communicate such embedded feeds to the Social Media crawler so that it can collect relevant posts by adjusting its queries. Third, the highly dynamic nature of platforms like Twitter requires that the interaction between Web and Social Media crawlers is efficient and has a low latency to ensure that content can be captured effectively.

2.3 Prioritization for Focus and Freshness

The Web crawler needs to decide at each step, which of the queued URLs it will crawl next. This is typically based on a relevance score for the URL based, for example, on the relevance of pages linking to that page, the importance of the web site host or (if the URL has been crawled before) the estimated change probability. Focused crawlers primarily use the content of the linking pages to estimate the relevance of the URL to the crawl focus, based on the assumption that Web pages typically link to other pages on the same topic.

In contrast, Social Media often only provide a limited amount of content that can be used for the relevance assessment. For example, Twitter only allows 140 characters for each post. However, Social Media platforms offer more expressive queries. For example, it is possible to retrieve posts matching certain keywords, posts written by specified users or posts from a given geographical region. This can allow the crawler to directly query the posts relevant to the crawl focus. Additionally, the crawler can make use of the Social Media specific measures of relevance, such as the popularity of a post or the profile of the posting user.

Existing focused Web crawlers typically aim to collect topically coherent Web content for a topic of interest [8]. Only few works have considered time as the focusing target [19]. Neither of these works have however considered the aspect of freshness (see Section 2.1) of the collected documents in
the context of crawler prioritization. As we will show in this work, the use of Social Media makes it possible to create fresh and focused Web document collections.

3. CRAWLER ARCHITECTURE

The iCrawl architecture (see Figure 2) is based on Apache Nutch [1], an established Web crawler that is designed to run as a distributed system on the Hadoop map/reduce platform. Nutch provides the general functionality of a Web crawler, such as crawl queue management, fetching and link extraction. Nutch is highly extensible through a plugin system that provides extension points for all phases of the crawl. As Nutch is run as a series of map/reduce jobs, it can also be customized by replacing or inserting custom jobs into the crawling cycle. In order to implement an integrated and focused crawler, we modified the Nutch crawler and complemented it with additional modules. Additionally, we created several components such as a graphical user interface that make the system more useful for our target users. In the following we will describe the facets of our system relevant to integrated and focused crawling.

3.1 Web Crawler

Nutch implements the basic Web crawler through collaborating map/reduce jobs (see Figure 2). First, the seed URLs of a crawl are added to the queue by the Injector job. Then the crawl is executed as a series of batches. Each batch starts with the Generator job that picks n URLs from the queue that have the highest priority and can be fetched right now. The Fetcher job downloads the Web pages for these URLs and stores them locally. The Parse job extracts links and metadata from the pages, calculates the priority for the outlinks and updates the crawler queue with the new values. The Generator, Fetcher and Parse jobs are repeated until the crawl is stopped.

3.2 Integrated Crawling

As described above, Nutch only adds seed URLs to the queue at the beginning of the crawl through the Injector job. However, Social media streams provide us constantly with new potential seed URLs. We therefore implemented custom API Injectors for URLs from Social Media streams to enable integrated crawling. Currently, we provide support for the Twitter streaming API and RSS feeds; other sources can be added. The crawler user can specify for each API the queries they want to monitor when starting the crawl. Furthermore, additional queries can be added during the crawl manually or automatically and the current queries can be modified to reflect a shifting topic.

The API Injectors cannot run inside Nutch, as each Nutch job runs only for a short amount of time, whereas the push nature of the APIs (see Section 2.2) requires a continuously running process. Our system automatically starts the API Injectors for the requested sources and also shuts them down when the crawl is stopped. When an API Injector is started, it receives the specified queries and is then responsible for creating and maintaining the API connection. The API Injector inject URLs into the crawler queue, store received posts and add information about resolved redirects (e.g. from URL shorteners) through a simple interface modeled on Hadoop².

An URL is only added to the crawler queue when it is first discovered. If an URL was already discovered by crawler, its relevance is unchanged as the content in social media posts is typically to short to estimate relevance.

Social Media APIs are also used during the regular crawl to augment the Web crawler. Through the APIs information about for example posts is available in formats such as JSON. These are easier to process automatically and sometimes even contain additional information. When we encounter links to known Social Media websites, we rewrite the links to point directly to the APIs and enqueue those links in addition to the Web URLs. The crawler then calls the API Fetcher module for the appropriate Social Media site when the URL needs to be fetched to retrieve the document through the API and store it in the crawl database.

The described process is illustrated in Figure 1 where the Twitter stream is filtered for “#Ukraine”. The extracted links from the filtered stream (Fox News [UK1] and Missile Threat [UK2]) are added to the queue with a high priority of 1 and crawled in the first batch. After UK2 is crawled, its outgoing links are analyzed. This analysis results in a relevance score of 0.4 for the extracted link UK3 to be crawled in a later batch x. After the crawling of UK3 the analysis results in a low relevance of 0.05 for the outlink UK4 to be crawled at a later point in time (if at all).

The combination of Web crawler, API Injectors and API Fetchers allows us to seamlessly cross the boundaries between Web and Social Media in a single system.

3.3 Focused Crawling

As our goal is to create a topical Web collection, we need to ensure that only Web pages relevant to that topic are crawled. However, Nutch only uses a relevance measure based on the link graph (Adaptive On-line Page Importance Computation [2]). This measure does not take the topic into account at all. Furthermore, it requires multiple crawls of the same pages until the relevance predictions converge. Therefore we replace the priority computation of Nutch with our own module (Link prioritization). It implements the prioritization by determining the relevance of each downloaded

²The library to communicate with Nutch is available separately as open source at https://github.com/L3B/nutch-injector
page to the crawl topic and computing a priority score for each of its outlinks. These scores are returned to Nutch, which in a separate step combines them with scores from other pages and updates the crawler queue accordingly. In this way URLs linked to from pages of high topical relevance are moved to the front of the queue, especially if they are linked to repeatedly, whereas the outlinks of low relevance pages are moved to the back of the queue. When the relevance of pages at the front of the queue sinks below a threshold, we stop the crawl and ensure in this way that the collected pages are of overall high relevance.

3.4 Data Storage
During the Parse job we extract and store entities and keywords from the crawled documents. This metadata is used to provide an improved monitoring interface for the crawl and can be used for semantic indexing of the crawled data. We also collect extensive metrics about the crawl itself to provide a good documentation. This means that our crawl generates two different types of data with varying characteristics and access patterns, namely crawled content and metadata. The crawled content is typically larger (several kilobytes or megabytes per record) and is frequently accessed in sequence, e.g., by analysis or export processes. This data is stored in the Crawl DB backed by the distributed Apache HBase datastore which can easily store gigabytes or terabytes in a fault-tolerant manner. On the other hand, metadata is smaller in size (less than a kilobyte per record), but needs to be accessed and queried in many different ways. Standard relational databases work better for this data than HBase, therefore we store it in a separate metadata DB. By having these two data stores we can ensure a good performance of all components of our platform.

3.5 WARC Exporter
There are already many systems to provide index and analyze Web collection. Rather than duplicate this effort, we provide a way to export the final collection in the standard WARC format. The exported files also contain the extracted metadata. This metadata can be used for exploration of the collection or can be indexed to provide richer search interfaces for the content.

3.6 Crawl Specification and User Interface
The crawling process starts with the manual definition of the crawl specification: a list of seeds (URLs and Social Media queries) and keywords that best describe the topical focus of the crawl from the user’s point of view. The crawl specification is used in two ways: (1) to support the focusing and prioritization of the crawl and (2) to provide an initial seed list for the crawler. The setup and scoping of a crawl is supported through a “Wizard” interface that allows the user to find and select relevant Web and Social Media seeds through simple keyword queries. More details about the user interface can be found in [13].

4. EVALUATION
The goal of the evaluation is to measure the impact of the Social Media integration on the freshness and the relevance of the resulting collections with respect to the topical focus of the crawl as well as to better understand the domains of the content covered using the different crawler configurations. To achieve this goal, in our evaluation we compare several crawler configurations that vary with respect to the focusing and integration:

Unfocused (UN): Our first baseline is a typical unfocused state-of-the-art Web crawler. For this configuration we use an unmodified version of Apache Nutch. We expect this configuration to collect less relevant documents than the other configurations.

Focused (FO): As a second baseline we incorporate state-of-the-art focusing features into Apache Nutch to get a focused crawler. This configuration is expected to find more relevant documents than the unfocused crawler, but still does not take their freshness into account.

Twitter-based (TB): To better understand the role of Social Media API input in the integrated crawler, we use a simple crawler that monitors the Twitter streaming API for a given query and downloads all documents linked from the returned tweets (without following further outlinks of those pages). We expect that the tweeted links are typically very fresh.

Integrated (INT): This configuration uses our proposed system and combines the focused Web crawler and the Twitter API input as described in Section 3. This configuration combines the advantages of the focused crawler and the Twitter API and is expected to deliver fresh and relevant results.

For each of these configurations, we measure the relevance and freshness of the collected documents during the runtime of the crawl. An ideal system would have a constantly good relevance and freshness until all relevant documents have been crawled, after which the relevance has to drop. However, in contrast to previous work on focused crawlers we target ongoing events, where new relevant documents can be created during the crawl. This means that the crawler can have a continuous supply of relevant and fresh documents to collect. We also analyze the most frequent web sites of the gathered document collection to see if the different configurations prefer different types of Web sites.

Relevance evaluation: In iCrawl, the topical focus of the crawl is represented by the crawl specification, a list of seeds (URLs and Social Media queries) and keywords specified by the user. To evaluate the relevance of the crawled documents to the topical focus of the crawl, we build a reference vector representing the crawl specification and document vectors representing each crawled document. Then the relevance of a crawled document is measured as its cosine similarity to the reference vector. Such automatic evaluation is scalable and provides a fair comparison of the different crawler configurations.

As the reference vector is composed of multiple seed documents, the absolute similarity scores of any specific document to this vector is always lower than 1. In fact, the relevance scores of the seed pages are in our evaluation in the interval [0.5, 0.85].

Freshness evaluation: We measure the freshness as the time interval between fetch time of the page and the date of the page creation (see Definition 2.1). In practice, the creation date of a page is often hard to estimate because Web pages often provide misleading metadata. For example, the HTTP Last-Modified header is often equal to the fetch time because the page was dynamically generated. We therefore
was queried with the user names
and the Ukraine Tensions crawls was 16,000 and 13,800 per
the queue contains less relevant URLs than the batch size,
of less relevant URLs in the beginning of the crawl when
processing times). Note that this may lead to the inclusion
parallelism) and efficiency (small batch size for short batch
between the goals of efficiency (large batch size for higher
are selected and fetched. This batch size is a compromise
i.e. in each batch the first 1000 URLs from the crawler queue
specification for prioritization.

The keywords
ukraine
.com
rt.com
ber 7th) as well as on the Ukraine crisis in general (
specific event (reports of tanks crossing the border on Novem-
mention of the Ebola Epidemic and the Ukraine Tensions
crawls. Values less than 1% are omitted.

Although the crawler started from the seed pages in En-
lish, it collected varying proportions of non-English content
(see Table 2). In the configurations that used Twitter, we
also obtained some content from multimedia sites such as
instagram.com. Although our manual investigation shows
that the collected non-English and multimedia content is
in many cases highly relevant to the crawl intent, automatic
relevance evaluation of such content appears difficult for two
reasons. First, the reference vector is built using English
terms. Second, multimedia sites like instagram.com do not
provide sufficient textual descriptions. Therefore, in this pa-
per we exclude the content of non-English and multimedia
sites from further evaluation. We would like to investiga-
the issues related to the multilingual and multimedia collec-
tions as part of our future work.

### 4.2 Web Site Distribution

The distribution of the most frequent Web sites for each
crawl is presented in Table 3. For the Ebola Epidemic crawl
the Social Media influenced crawlers (Twitter-based and In-
tegrated) collected content most often from Social Media
oriented sites like instagram.com, linkis.com, or vine.co.
Also links to news aggregators like newslocker.com, news0.
tk, allnews24h.com, and weeder.org were often tweeted
and collected by the crawler. The Twitter-based crawl in-
cludes also renown addresses like nytimes.com or huffing-
tonpost.com.

The focused and unfocused crawls include most often the
WHO web site (who.int) since it was part of the initial seed
list. The focused crawler collected also content from CDC
(cdc.gov) and a Liberian news network (glnliberia.com),
the Russian news agency RIA Novosti (ria.ru) and from
“Doctors Without Borders” in Austria (aerzte-ohne-gren-
zien.at). The unfocused crawler collected instead a large
number of content from Twitter and Google and the Irish
news Web site “The Journal.ie”.

For the Ukraine Tensions case the Twitter-based crawl
behaves similar as in the Ebola Epidemic case. Not surpris-
ingly, Social Media sites are most often mentioned but only
one news site is among the most frequent Web sites. Also
subdomains of the Web hoster (hosting-test.net) are of-
ten included as it hosts a large number of Ukrainian and
Russian Web pages.

All other crawlers have a high coverage of news sites like
reuters.com, rt.com, ria.ru (both are Russian news sites),
kyivpost.com (a Kiev newspaper) and theguardian.com.
Furthermore, the focused and integrated crawler collected

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Feature Description</th>
<th>Docs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>url</td>
<td>date is contained in URL path</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>time</td>
<td>HTML5 &lt;time/&gt; element</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>meta</td>
<td>HTML &lt;meta/&gt; elements for e.g. Last-Modified</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>trigger</td>
<td>next to trigger word such as “updated on”</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>content</td>
<td>occurrence in text</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Features used to estimate the page creation date in the order of application and the percentage of documents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ebola Epidemic</th>
<th>Ukraine Tensions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TB</td>
<td>UN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>en</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ru</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Language distribution (in %) of the Ebola Epidemic and Ukraine Tensions crawls. Values less than 1% are omitted.
4.3 Relevance Evaluation

Figure 3a and Figure 3b present the relevance of the documents collected during the Ebola Epidemic crawl and the Ukraine Tensions crawl, respectively. The X-axis reflects the batches downloaded by the crawler in chronological order. The Y-axis represents the average relevance of the documents in a batch (higher values correspond to more relevant documents).

**Relevance of the Ebola Epidemic Crawl:** For the Ebola Epidemic crawl shown in Figure 3a we can observe at the beginning of the crawling process that the average relevance of the focused crawler is higher than for the other configurations. This can be attributed to the high relevance of the seed pages and the high effectiveness of the focusing strategy. In some batches the focused crawler downloaded a large number of highly relevant pages at once, e.g. from the WHO website, which are visible as spikes in the average relevance (e.g. in batch 92).

However, Figure 3a also indicates that the average relevance of the content collected by the focused crawler drops over time. This can be explained by the limited number of relevant web resources connected to the initial seed URLs.

In contrast, the average relevance of the Twitter-based crawl remains at a lower but more stable level over time. The reason for the on average lower relevance scores of the Twitter-based crawl can be explained by the source of the collected documents: This crawler collects more ‘popular’ documents, e.g. from Social Media sites (see Table 3), which use a different vocabulary than the seed documents that were used to create the reference vector.

The Twitter input enables the integrated crawler to find relevant content independently of the original seed URLs and thus to remain more stable over time with respect to the relevance compared to the focused crawler. The focusing of the integrated crawler can handle the noisy input from Twitter which can be observed when it starts to outperform the baseline focused crawler after around 100 batches in the Ebola Epidemic crawl.

**Relevance of the Ukraine Tensions Crawl:** In case of the Ukraine Tensions crawl the general observations remain similar as shown in Figure 3b. The focused crawler begins with highly relevant content due to the impact of the seed list. Afterwards it drops rapidly and continues with quite high variance until batch 50. Finally, the variance decreases and the content relevance remains on a lower level.

In contrast, the Twitter-based Ukraine Tensions crawl shows similar to the Ebola Epidemic case a stable relevance over the entire crawl duration. Due to the closeness of the crawl to the ongoing event, the crawled content is of higher relevance compared to the Ebola Epidemic case.

The integrated crawler shows in the early stages a similar blogs posts from wordpress.com most frequently.
4.4 Document freshness evaluation

Figure 3c and Figure 3d show the freshness of the documents collected during the Ebola Epidemic and Ukraine Tensions crawls, respectively. The X-axis reflects the batches downloaded by the crawler over time. The Y-axis show the average freshness of the documents in a batch in hours (lower freshness values correspond to the more recent documents).

Freshness of the Ebola Epidemic Crawl: As we can observe in Figure 3c, the average freshness of the Twitter-based crawler is the best throughout the crawling process, followed by the integrated crawler. The focused crawler fetches more older pages after about 100 batches. This is similar to the trend we observed for relevance, where the focused crawler collected less relevant content after a certain number of pages.

Figure 4 shows the distribution of the freshness obtained by different crawler configurations on the topic of Ebola Epidemic. The Y-axis represents the freshness of the crawled content (in hours). The box boundaries correspond to the upper and lower quartile of the data points, such that 50% of the data points lie inside the boxes.

The Twitter-driven settings achieve a significantly better freshness than the other crawl configurations, as shown in Figure 4a. For example, the Twitter-based crawl has the best freshness values with a median of 24 hours, second is the integrated crawler with a median freshness of 65 hours. The focused crawler collects pages with the median freshness of 980 hours, which is 15 times longer than the integrated crawl, while the median of the unfocused crawler is even 2300 hours (i.e. approximately 3 months).

Freshness of the Ukraine Tensions Crawl: Figure 3d shows the freshness of the collected pages in the Ukraine Tensions crawl. The Twitter-based crawl shows again the highest freshness.

For the focused crawl we see the same behavior as for the Ebola Epidemic crawl: The freshness is high at the beginning, but starts decreasing after 50 batches. Again this is accompanied by a drop in relevance: the crawler seems to have collected all reachable relevant content here as well.

The content crawled by the integrated crawler is in general of similar and sometimes higher freshness as that of the Twitter-based crawler. However, it has some outlier batches where more old content is crawled. As discussed in the relevance of the Ukraine Tensions crawl this can be another indicator that old and unrelated content has been collected before the crawler was able to follow related and fresh links.

These observations are confirmed by the distribution of the freshness shown in Figure 4b. Again the values differ significantly across the crawler configurations. The Twitter-based crawl provides content with the highest freshness at a median of 20 hours. The freshness values of the unfocused and focused crawler are rather low with a median of 1920 and 1415 hours, respectively. Finally, the integrated crawler results in a mixture of fresh and old content with the median of 120 hours and therefore with higher degree of fresh content compared to both of the unfocused and focused baselines.

Freshness Summary: Overall, we can conclude that the integrated crawler significantly outperforms both unfocused and focused baseline crawlers in terms of freshness, especially as the crawler moves away from the (fresh) seed URLs. The Twitter-based crawls demonstrate the highest freshness, which shows that the Twitter input clearly contributes to the improved freshness of the integrated crawler.

4.5 Evaluation Results Discussion

As our evaluation results indicate, the most effective crawler configuration depends on the distribution of the relevant...
data across the media. For example, the Ebola Epidemic topic is more generic and is covered widely in news sites. However, Tweets containing keywords related to Ebola address a variety of aspects and are often off-topic for the crawl intent (e.g. “Things worse than ebola”). In contrast, the Ukraine Tensions topic targeted a very recent and specific event. For this topic we observe higher relevance of the Tweets and lower coverage in the news sites.

Our experiments show that the Ebola Epidemic topic profits most from focused Web crawling, but the baseline focused crawler still exhausts its seed URLs very quickly. On the other hand, the Ukraine Tensions topic profits most from the relevant Tweets, here Twitter alone however provides only the limited view of what Twitter users decide to share. The integrated crawler takes advantage of both precise focused crawling and continuous input of fresh Twitter stream and automatically adapts its behavior towards the most promising information source.

5. RELATED WORK

Web crawlers are typically developed in the context of Web search applications. General considerations for these crawlers are described by [15, chap. 20], with more recent developments summarized in [17]. For Web archiving, the web crawler Heritrix by the Internet Archive [16] is commonly used. Standard crawling methods aim to capture as much of the Web as possible. In contrast, focused crawling [8] aims to only crawl pages that are related to a specific topic. Focused crawlers [e.g. 3, 18] learn a representation of the topic from the set of initial pages (seed URLs) and follow links only if the containing page matches that representation. Extensions of this model use ontologies to incorporate semantic knowledge into the matching process [12, 11], ‘tunnel’ between disjoint page clusters [5, 21] or learn navigation structures necessary to find relevant pages [9, 14]. In the recently proposed time-aware focused crawling [19] time is used as a primary focusing criteria. Here the crawler is guided to follow links that are related to a target time, but the topical relevance is not considered. In summary, existing solutions to focused Web crawling consider relevance and time dimensions in isolation and do not address the problem of jointly finding relevant as well as fresh content.

The Social Web provides an important source of data for Web Science researchers. Many services such as Twitter, Youtube or Flickr provide access to structured information about users, user networks and created content through their APIs and are therefore attractive to researchers. Data collection from these services is not supported by standard Web crawlers. Usually it is conducted in an ad-hoc manner, although some structured approaches targeting specific aspects exist [6, 20]. For example, [6] collects Twitter data from particular user communities, [20] proposes a cross Social Media crawler, whereas [4] addresses topic detection in Twitter streams. These studies typically focus on crawling and analyzing data from specific Social Networks, whereas our work addresses the problem of integrated collection of interlinked Web and Social Web data.

The potential relevance of Tweets for Web archive creation has been explored [26]. In the ARCOMEM project [22] first approaches have been investigated to implement a social and semantic driven selection model for Web and Social Web content. The results show that combination of social and semantic information can lead to focused Web archives. However, their system has separate Web and Social Media crawlers which causes a drift of focus between the subsystems. In contrast, iCrawl is a fully integrated crawler to seamlessly collect interlinked Web and Social Web content and guide the focused Web crawler using Social Media.

Data freshness is a data quality dimension that has various application-dependent definitions and metrics [7]. The aspects of freshness include e.g. currency, i.e. the time interval between the data extraction and its delivery to the user, and timeliness, i.e. the actual age of the data. Current search engines use the freshness of documents as part of their scoring algorithms. Here freshness is estimated based on the crawling history associated with the documents (such as the inception date, i.e. the date the document has been discovered and indexed by the search engine or the date it first appeared in the search results) [10]. Even though content freshness is one of the most important requirements of the iCrawl users, they cannot always rely on the crawl history to estimate freshness of pages. Instead, iCrawl relies on Social Media to provide entry points to the fresh content and uses content-based freshness estimates for evaluation.

Figure 4: The boxplot representing the distribution of the freshness in the Ebola Epidemic and Ukraine Tensions crawls obtained with different crawler configurations. The Y-axis (log scale) presents the freshness of the content (in hours). The box boundaries correspond to the upper and lower quartile of the data points.
6. CONCLUSION

In this paper we addressed the problem of collection of fresh and relevant Web and Social Web content for current events and topics of interest. To achieve thematically coherent and fresh Web collections, we proposed the novel paradigm of integrated crawling that exploits Social Media streams and interlinking between the Web and Social Web content to continuously guide a focused crawler towards fresh and relevant content. We presented iCrawl (available online at http://icrawl.l3s.uni-hannover.de), an open source integrated focused crawler that seamlessly connects focused Web crawling and Twitter API query in one system and enables scalable and efficient collection of interlinked fresh Web and Social Web content on a topic of interest. We confirmed, that Twitter can be effectively used as a source of fresh content. Our experiments with real-world datasets collected by iCrawl demonstrate that the integrated crawler takes the advantage of both precise focused crawling and continuous input of fresh Social Media streams and automatically adapts its behaviour towards the most promising information source.
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